

7297-101N1 / 10316368  
S.N. 10/719,363  
PATENT

**REMARKS**

This Amendment accepts the indications of the Examiner during a telephone interview of what claims need to be cancelled in order to gain allowance. The case has been delayed in the US Patent Office for so long that gaining allowance and filing a continuing application where issues are not resolved is preferable so as to publish the allowable claims and consider the issues in a further application. The amendments filed between the last two Official Actions have each been directed to this end.

The Examiner is thanked for the courtesy of the telephone interview taking place in four separate calls spanning April 13 and 14, 2006. In the first call between the Examiner and the undersigned, the Examiner indicated that claims 46, 49, 51, 54, 65, 73, 95, 109, 111, 120, 122, 129, 142 were rejected. In the second call with the Examiner, the undersigned and the inventor John Kim present, the Applicant advanced a proposal that changes be made to conform with the rejection and preserve allowable claims. The Examiner indicated that he had only give the Applicant the independent claims that were rejected during the call and not the dependent claims but would consider a proposal if we would make a written comment for consideration as part of the interview. This was done by written comment for consideration as part of the interview which proposed the following:

7297-101N1 / 10316368  
S.N. 10/719,363  
PATENT

1.	Claim	Add Subject Matter From:
	46	47
	54	58
	65	69
	73	74
	95	98
	109	143
	120	147
	129	130

2. We then would cancel claims 49, 51, 52, 53, 122 and 142.
3. We also wish to add the subject matter of claim 143 into claim 111 which is newly claimed subject matter.
4. We would also correct the dependency based upon the prior changes.

Applicant concurrently filed an information disclosure statement with two additional claims that came to light as relevant to certain of the issues with the Examiner being informed of this in the written comment for consideration as part of the interview and in the third call.

During the second call and in the written comment for consideration as part of the interview, the Applicant made a statement regarding the difference between a SIM card which is understood to not be an interactive memory that can either receive data or transmit data, but only acts as an electronic key, and the memory claimed in the Application. The Applicant (we) stated:

We emphasized that the applicant is understood to be the first ever to use a memory card for storing moving images, sound and a combination of sound and moving images with a cellphone. In this regard,

7297-101N1 / 10316368  
S.N. 10/719,363  
PATENT

we wish to distinguish a Subscriber Identity Module (SIM card). The SIM card does not have the capacities recited in the present claims for storing moving images, sound and a combination of sound and moving images. Consequently, in the review by the Examiner, we ask that this difference be considered.

The third call was between the Examiner and the undersigned. The Examiner indicated that none of the dependent claims would be allowed that referenced the rejected independent claims that had been listed. Applicant later responded in a fourth call between the Examiner and the undersigned by agreeing to cancel all such claims or references to rejected claims in otherwise allowable claims were allowable claims were referenced in multiple dependent format and rely on a continuing procedure to address the remaining issues. In none of the calls was prior art addressed beyond that described above.

A subsequent call was made to the examiner today, April 17, 2006 where the Applicant wished to change the proposal to continue to adhere to the Examiner's position but make all of the claims to be removed under the prior proposal dependent on allowed claims. This is accomplished by this substitute amendment. To this end:

Rejected independent claim	Made dependent upon allowed claim
46	1
49	1
51	1
54	1
65	34
73	93
95	93
109	1

7297-101N1 / 10316368  
S.N. 10/719,363  
PATENT

111  
120  
122  
129

144  
34  
1  
93


The dependent claims and portions thereof depending on the rejected independent claims have been retained as the rejected independent claims have been made dependent on allowed claims.

As all claims rejected have been amended to include allowable subject matter, a notice of allowance is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

April 17, 2005

By:

  
John D. McConaghy  
Reg. No. 26,773

355 S. Grand Ave. #3150  
Los Angeles, CA 90071  
(213) 787-2501